

**Interpretation of Table:**  
**JAIL POPULATIONS: 4th Quarter '00 versus 4th Quarter '01**

This table:

- § summarizes the ADP results for the 64 jurisdictions in California reporting data from Type II, III, and IV jails;
- § summarizes jurisdiction ADP results for the most recent quarter (Column B);
- § compares jurisdiction ADP for the most recent quarter with the same quarter last year (Column A);
- § ranks the jurisdictions in terms of gains or losses in ADP from high to low (Column C);
- § lists the percentage growth or decline in ADP for each jurisdiction (Column D);
- § lists the percentage of the overall State increase or decrease in ADP that is represented by each jurisdiction (Column E);
- § lists, by jurisdiction, the cumulative percentage increase and decrease in the State ADP starting with the jurisdiction with the highest percentage of the increase and proceeding to the jurisdiction with the highest percentage of the decrease (Column F);
- § lists, by jurisdiction, the cumulative total increase and decrease in the State ADP starting with the jurisdiction with the highest increase and proceeding to the jurisdiction with the biggest decrease (Column G); and,
- § lists the jurisdictions that experienced decreases in their ADP as shaded.

Some important conclusions from this table are:

1. The two numbers at the bottom indicate the "total increase" in ADP (in this case - 2,040) and "total decrease" in ADP (3,425). In other words, the jurisdictions experiencing increases had a total increase of 2,040 ADP; and the jurisdictions experiencing decreases had a total decrease of 3,425 ADP. Subtracting 2,040 from 3,425 produces the overall decrease of 1,385 between the fourth quarter of 2000 and the fourth quarter of 2001.
2. The Riverside ADP increase of 403 is 19.8% of the total increase of 2,040. Thirty-two jurisdictions had increases (down to Modoc Sheriff's Department). When you get to Modoc Sheriff's Department, you have accounted for 100% of the increases (100% of the cumulative total of 2,040).
3. Jurisdictions that experienced a decrease in ADP are listed from smallest decrease to largest decrease (San Benito Sheriff's Department to Los Angeles Sheriff's Department). When you get to the bottom of the table, you have accounted for 100% of the total decreases of 3,425 inmates.
4. The cumulative percentage of ADP increase for the top four jurisdictions (Riverside Sheriff's Department to San Francisco Sheriff's Department) is 57.12%. In other words, four jurisdictions accounted for about 53% of the total ADP increase. Three jurisdictions (San Bernardino, Santa Clara and Los Angeles Sheriff's Departments) account for about

53% of the decreases. Please note that San Diego Probation Department will no longer be reporting on the Jail Profile Survey, which accounts for approximately six percent (6%) of the total decrease.